CURSO AVANZADO DE FORMACIÓN PARA JUECES Y FISCALES

UNICRI BOLIVIA, COLOMBIA, ECUADOR Y PERÚ EN LA LUCHA CONTRA LA CORRUPCIÓN Y OTROS GRAVES DELITOS

Bogotá, January 30 – February 4, 2005
Results of the questionnaire

Within the framework of the project 'Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru in the Fight against Corruption and related Serious Crimes', UNICRI organized a second advanced training course aimed at eighteen judges and public prosecutors chosen amongst the most highly qualified figures of the anti-corruption offices of Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru.

At the end of the meeting all participants were asked to fill out an evaluation questionnaire, which shows, overall, the accomplishment and fulfillment of all the objectives as fixed by UNICRI.

The contents of the course, its interactive methodology and both national and international experts who acted as trainers, received great praise from participants.

In particular, participants, answered to the following questions as shown:

OVERALL EVALUATION

83.5%	11%	5.5%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Abstention

PART 1: COURSE'S OBJECTIVES

Offering solutions to handle transnational cases of corruption with the support of the international tools

67%	27.5%	5.5%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all

Offering tools for the promotion and the assistance for a legislative harmonization in the Andean countries and compliance with international legal tools

78%	16.5%	5.5%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all

PART 2: METHODOLOGY

Methodology applied by the training course				
83.5%	11%	5.5%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak

PART 3: TRAINING SESSIONS

FIRST DAY- MONDAY JANUARY 30TH

Witness Protection- The Colombian experience
Yolanda Sarmiento/Yenny Fonseca

Relevancy of the topic				
78%	22%	0%	0%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all	Abstention

Contents of the presentation					
50%	34%	16%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Clarity of the presentation					
44.5%	44.5%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

The Italian experience – Case study
Pier Luigi Dell'Osso

Relevancy of the topic				
83%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all	Abstention

Contents of the presentation					
61%	33.5%	5.5%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Clarity of the presentation					
61%	33.5%	5.5%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

SECOND DAY- TUESDAY JANUARY 31ST

Judicial Cooperation and legislative harmonization
Pedro R. David

Relevancy of the topic

89%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all	Abstention

Contents of the presentation

83.5%	11%	5.5%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Clarity of the presentation

83.5%	11%	5.5%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

The Colombian experience – Case study
Ana Fenney Ospina Pena

Relevancy of the topic

83%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all	Abstention

Contents of the presentation

72%	17%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Clarity of the presentation

61%	28%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

THIRD DAY- WEDNESDAY FEBRUARY 1ST

Asset recovery. Judicial Cooperation and legislative harmonization– Case study
Euclides Damaso Simoes

Relevancy of the topic

94.5%	5.5%	0%	0%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all	Abstention

Contents of the presentation

83.5%	16.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Clarity of the presentation

72%	28%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Investigation techniques. Judicial cooperation and legislative harmonization
Pedro R. David

Relevancy of the topic

94.5%	5.5%	0%	0%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all	Abstention

Contents of the presentation

78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Clarity of the presentation

72%	28%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

The Italian experience – Case study
Pier Luigi Dell'Osso

Relevancy of the topic

83%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all	Abstention

Contents of the presentation

55.5%	44.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Clarity of the presentation

50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

UNODC and the fight against corruption
Juan Carlos Palau

Relevancy of the topic

78%	22%	0%	0%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all	Abstention

Contents of the presentation

67%	27.5%	5.5%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Clarity of the presentation

61.5%	33%	5.5%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Management of the case study.
Marcelo Stopanovski Ribeiro

Relevancy of the topic

89%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all	Abstention

Contents of the presentation

78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Clarity of the presentation

72%	28%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Trafficking in human beings. – Case Study
Adriana Vieco

Relevancy of the topic

61%	39%	0%	0%	0%
Completely	Mostly	Partially	Not at all	Abstention

Contents of the presentation

61%	39%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

Clarity of the presentation

50%	33.5%	16.5%	0%	0%	0%
Excellent	Very good	Good	Regular	Weak	Abstention

CONCLUSION

The questionnaire also included open questions regarding topics that participants would like to carefully explore during the follow up course. Most of participants suggested UNICRI deepening the following themes:

- o Trafficking in human beings
- o International conventions
- o Transnational corruption and other severe crimes
- o Investigative techniques
- o International criminal law and human rights
- o Cyber crime
- o Ethics
- o International criminal court.

Moreover, the questionnaire asked participants to give UNICRI suggestions on entities, of the four countries involved in the training course, where to distribute and develop the program of cooperation. The following answers give a view of the variety of responses:

- o Schools of crown prosecutors
- o District attorneys' offices
- o Academies of magistracy and Higher Council of Magistracy
- o Judicial police
- o National (of the four countries involved) Congress
- o Universities and Town Halls
- o Non governmental organizations
- o UIAF: Unidad de Informacion y Analisis Financiero
- o FELCN: Fuerza Especial de Lucha Contra el Narcotrafico y otros fiscales.